

# Kansas Library Board Divided Over Banning Pedophiles from Reading to Kids

COURTNEY KIRCHOFF MONDAY AUGUST 26 2019 [Crowder on pedophilia, transys](#)



The following statement will not be well-received by those on the left who proclaim to love children, so trigger warning: extreme leftists only care about using children for their own gains and pleasures. What other conclusion are we to draw when the Wichita City Library Board is [divided over whether or not to ban pedophiles and sex offenders](#) from reading to children? How this is even up for debate speaks to the toxicity of leftist culture of hedonism first, burn the opposition second.

After an event last year in which drag queens read pro-LGBT stories to children at Wichita's Advanced Learning Library, the community's library board is currently debating a proposal to automatically disqualify convicted sex offenders from presenting at libraries in the area.

I'd file this under the "duh" category. People who like to do it with kids shouldn't be around kids. If you have a problem around a thing, you shouldn't be around that thing. Kind of like how alcoholics don't walk into bars. Except for an alcoholic in a bar just might drink what's there. He's not going to shove his penis into a bottle of Jack Daniels and tell it to shut up and take it, don't tell mom and dad. So even that analogy doesn't pan out.

Related: [WATCH: Drag Queen Teaches Children to Twerk. Screw You, Sweet Meteor of Death](#) and [UK: Drag Queen Busted as a Pedophile in Undercover Sting](#).

Maddeningly enough, this measure is being met with resistance. Pay attention, because the opposition here makes up some of the same people who call you a nazi for voting a certain way.

"It's clear why they're checking for sex offenses only: they're trying to label the LGBT population in this city as sex offenders, which is offensive in and of itself," Equality Kansas director Thomas Witt claimed, the *Wichita Eagle* [reported](#). "These are public presentations in one of the most open and public places in the city of Wichita. I doubt very seriously it's a venue for predators."

Let's unpack this statement line by line.

Checking for sex-offenses only is relevant when presenting children to adults (mostly men) who may

have a problem controlling their man hands and wangs around children. I'd like to know if the adult male in a dress has a glove compartment full of kiddy porn, not parking tickets. It doesn't matter so much to me if the tranny has done time for traffic violations, insurance fraud, tax evasion or insider trading. While those things are bad, passing on a double yellow isn't as grave as passing a kindergartener some Jesus Juice for a good time.

Second thing, no one is labeling the entire LGBT community as sex offenders. Except maybe Thomas Witt who drew that conclusion all on his own. To equate wanting to ban sex offenders from reading to children with the LGBT community at large kind of seems like Thomas Witt assumes the entire LGBT community at large is a bunch of sex offenders. Which is offensive, in and of itself.

Public presentations in one of the most open and public places is a great area for a criminal to case a joint. See also a mall, town square, city street, convention, concert, and a public park. Just because something is out in the open doesn't mean a criminal won't criminal... later. So pardon me if I don't want my child presented to someone publicly so that predator can see the child, make contact with the child, and prey on the child privately.

But this is the extreme left. No, not the left. I have to believe many a liberal would have a real problem with a sex offender reading to their child. I also have to believe many a liberal wouldn't want their child to engage in "consensual" sex with an adult. Yet that's where the extreme left is taking us next, just based on some of the opposition to banning **SEX PREDATORS FROM READING TO KIDS**.