WE CAUGHT THEM! Part 2: Email Inventor Dr. Shiva Finds SAME IMPOSSIBLE BALLOT RATIO Feature in Michigan Results – WE CAUGHT THEM!

By Jim Hoft
Published November 14, 2020 at 5:49pm
476 Comments

We caught them!

We caught their fraud!

In our post on Friday night – OUR FIRST OF MANY – We revealed how they stole the battleground states!

The fix was in. The current results of the Presidential election in Pennsylvania reported to the public are fraudulent because they are close to statistically impossible.

On election night President Trump totally ran away with the election in Pennsylvania.

GP

President Trump was ahead of Joe Biden by nearly 700,000 votes! It was an insurmountable lead.

The President was still ahead in Pennsylvania with 56% of the vote to Biden's 43% the next morning.

The President was winning Pennsylvania and held a 675,000 vote lead in the election over Joe Biden.

What happened next was corrupt and criminal as the Democrats went about to steal Pennsylvania for Biden.

They took a Trump landslide and they criminally flipped it to Joe Biden.

According to **Pennsylvania's election returns website**, on election day President Trump won nearly two thirds of all votes cast in the state.

The President won 2.7 million votes compared to Biden's 1.4 million votes. The President's votes were nearly twice as many as Joe Biden's!

But what happened next was shocking. Pennsylvania began counting ballots by mail. There was no reporting on how many votes were outstanding at the end of election night. There was no reporting ever that we are aware of where the state announced how many votes were left to count after the election.

They just kept counting.

The state also allowed votes to come in for three more days after the election. Of course, these were all mail-in ballots. We do not know how many mail-in votes came in during these three days. The Republicans were not allowed to observe the counting of these votes even though a court order was in place demanding that the state do so. These actions go against Pennsylvania's constitution which state that the voting process is to be determined by the legislature. The change in ruling was implemented by the executive and judicial branches. This is an important issue with the Trump campaign in their complaints against the state as they try to undo the injustices in Pennsylvania.

Today the state is reporting more than 2.5 million mail in ballots. This number was never seen before in this state. As the mail-in ballots were counted, the state began cutting into the President's 675,000 vote lead and eventually they gave the election to Biden. Biden won 2 million of the 2.5 million mail-in ballots.

How could the President receive only one fifth of the mail in ballots after crushing Biden in in-person voting on Election Day? The answer is: this was basically impossible. And here's why.

When we looked at these statistics and we identified a pattern that is virtually mathematically impossible. The President won two thirds of the Election Day vote. But with the basic exception of Philadelphia, the President won around 80% of the vote in each county in the state. (See the blue line in basic the chart below showing the percent of total election day votes won by President Trump.) Philadelphia is so large that it offsets these numbers and brings the President's results down to around 65% of the state's votes on Election Day.

What happened with the mail in votes is almost statistically impossible (See the orange line below). In almost every county throughout the state, the President was awarded a percent of votes 40% less than the percent the President won on election day (see the grey line below). If Trump won a county by 80% of the vote on Election Day, he won 40% of the mail-in vote for a county. If the President won 60% of the vote on Election Day, he won 20% of the mail-in vote in another county. *This pattern occurred in almost every county with the only noticeable exception of Philadelphia, where the President only earned 30% of the vote on Election Day.*

These numbers are so consistent that they are almost certainly fraudulent. This NEVER happens in data sets. Below is the data by county (Note the counties are listed alphabetically – number 51 is Philadelphia):

Pennsylvania		dos.pa.gov		//www.electionreturns.pa.gov/								ALL 40!!!
County	Biden	Votes	Election Day	Mail	Provis	Trump	Votes	Election Day	Mail	Provis	Trump Elec Day%	Trump Mail In%	Elect Day
ADAMS	32.23%	18,250	6611	11479	160	66.33%	37,563	29942	7188	433	81%	38%	43%
ALLEGHENY	59.55%	415,861	148171	267690	0	39.29%	274,348	209459	64889	0	58%	19%	38%
ARMSTRONG	23.28%	8,406	4275	4106	25	75.56%	27,284	24233	2914	137	84%	41%	43%
BEAVER	40.55%	37,747	17304	20185	258	58.13%	54,108	45464	8480	164	71%	29%	42%
BEDFORD	15.84%	4,367	1822	2498	47	83.50%	23,025	19637	3027	361	91%	54%	37%
BERKS	45.16%	89,530	42875	46655	0	53.44%	105,941	89192	16749	0	67%	26%	40%
BLAIR	27.75%	17,425	7856	9569	0	71.22%	44,714	37610	7104	0	82%	42%	40%
BRADFORD	26.69%	8,044	4147	3806	91	71.61%	21,586	18664	2599	323	80%	40%	41%
BUCKS	51.59%	200,006	77317	122689	0	47.36%	183,611	145506	38105	0	65%	23%	41%
BUTLER	32.93%	36,534	15955	20579	0	65.81%	73,023	61880	11143	0	78%	35%	44%
CAMBRIA	30.81%	21,749	10585	10899	265	68.12%	48,092	41101	6437	554	79%	37%	42%
CAMERON	26.05%	634	279	347	8	72.76%	1,771	1393	358	20	82%	50%	32%
CARBON	33.28%	10,990	5096	5894	0	65.44%	21,607	18449	3158	0	77%	35%	43%
CENTRE	51.69%	40,049	14449	25302	298	46.94%	36,366	29176	6884	306	66%	21%	45%
CHESTER	57.87%	179,364	61873	117192	299	41.00%	127,074	96905	29939	230	60%	20%	40%
CLARION	24.02%	4,615	2339	2276	0	74.76%	14,363	12687	1676	0	83%	42%	42%
CLEARFIELD	24.53%	9,598	4823	4775	0	74.08%	28,984	25531	3453	0	83%	41%	42%
CLINTON	31.22%	5,498	2706	2700	92	67.52%	11,889	10169	1499	221	78%	35%	43%
COLUMBIA	33.66%	10,289	4944	5345	0	64.61%	19,752	17022	2730	0	76%	33%	43%
CRAWFORD	30.66%	12,610	6053	6557	0	68.09%	28,002	24180	3822	0	79%	36%	43%
CUMBERLAND	43.88%	61,168	24861	36307	0	54.63%	76,149	61680	14469	0	70%	28%	42%
DAUPHIN	53.57%	77,397	35137	42260	0	45.09%	65,154	53964	11190	0	60%	21%	39%
DELAWARE	62.78%	200,911	94237	106674	0	36.31%	116,216	96014	20202	0	50%	16%	34%
ELK	26.79%	4,478	2337	2141	0	71.76%	11,993	10377	1616	0	80%	42%	38%
ERIE	49.79%	66,966	30638	36328	0	48.81%	65,647	54414	11233	0	63%	23%	40%
FAYETTE	32.56%	19,518	9337	10181	0	66.70%	39,978	34964	5014	0	78%	33%	46%
FOREST	27.36%	715	306	409	0	71.34%	1,864	1567	297	0	83%	42%	41%
FRANKLIN	27.77%	22,468	9483	12756	229	70.84%	57,308	48912	7858	538	83%	38%	45%
FULTON	13.60%	1,085	564	511	10	85.55%	6,824	6031	679	114	91%	56%	35%
GREENE	27.79%	4,900	2233	2649	18	71.20%	12,556	10782	1654	120	82%	38%	44%
HUNTINGDON	23.90%	5,448	2718	2701	29	74.84%	17,059	15128	1837	94	84%	40%	44%
INDIANA	30.67%	12,634	5801	6675	158	68.18%	28,087	24008	3830	249	80%	36%	44%
JEFFERSON	19.76%	4,498	2283	2167	48	78.77%	17,928	15775	1931	222	86%	46%	40%
JUNIATA	18.71%	2,253	1123	1112	18	80.12%	9,648	8360	1210	78	87%	51%	36%
LACKAWANNA	53.76%	61,129	29015	32114	0	45.30%	51,514	42665	8849	0	59%	21%	37%
LANCASTER	41.22%	114,978	51172	61680	2126	57.29%	159,783	132036	25129	2618	71%	29%	42%
LAWRENCE	34.62%	15,772	7840	7932	0	64.29%	29,290	25029	4261	0	75%	35%	41%
LEBANON	33.36%	23,646	9392	14009	245	65.27%	46,267	38057	7831	379	79%	35%	44%
LEHIGH	53.19%	95,889	38930	56959	0	45.64%	82,287	64696	17591	0	62%	23%	38%
LUZERNE	42.25%	64,100	25389	38025	686	56.76%	86,127	67488	17393	1246	72%	31%	41%
LYCOMING	28.64%	16,958	8814	7908	236	69.97%	41,434	36162	4726	546	79%	37%	42%
McKEAN	26.13%	5,076	2754	2322	0	72.41%	14,067	12311	1756	0	81%	42%	38%
MERCER	36.20%	20,426	10713	9713	0	62.53%	35,282	30471	4811	0	73%	33%	40%
MIFFLIN	21.40%	4,599	1983	2582	34	77.53%	16,663	14350	2187	126	87%	45%	42%
MONROE	52.41%	42,865	17924	24183	758	46.35%	37,914	29805	7493	616	62%	23%	38%
MONTGOMERY	62.51%	313,543	116742	196801	0	36.47%	182,907	143417	39490	0	54%	17%	38%
MONTOUR	38.58%	3,774	1619	2129	22	59.81%	5,851	4742	1050	51	73%	33%	41%
NORTHAMPTON	49.64%	83,163	30753	52410	0	49.20%	82,416	63666	18750	0	67%	26%	40%
NORTHUMBERLAND	30.01%	12,703	6831	5656	0	68.44%	28,975	25050	3440	0	77%	37%	40%
PERRY	24.14%	5,950	2826	3083	41	74.20%	18,293	15752	2384	157	83%	43%	40%
PHILADELPHIA	81.21%	573,785	252719	321066	0	18.13%	128,123	101003	27120	0	28%	8%	21%
PIKE	40.02%	13,052	4212	8674	166	58.99%	19,241	14468	4519	254	77%	34%	43%
POTTER	18.94%	1,686	918	768	0	79.96%	7,117	6360	757	0	86%	49%	37%
SCHUYLKILL	29.38%	20,425	10871	9554	0	69.19%	48,100	41964	6136	0	78%	39%	40%

SNYDER	25.65%	4,848	2327	2521	0	73.09%	13,816	12109	1707	0	83%	40%	43%
SOMERSET	21.32%	8,543	4556	3987	0	77.64%	31,105	27523	3582	0	85%	47%	38%
SULLIVAN	25.59%	921	471	441	9	72.85%	2,622	2234	368	20	82%	44%	37%
SUSQUEHANNA	28.61%	6,084	2869	3215	0	69.97%	14,879	12710	2169	0	80%	40%	41%
TIOGA	23.51%	4,958	2616	2269	68	74.69%	15,750	13387	2119	230	82%	47%	35%
UNION	36.99%	7,322	3203	4119	0	61.60%	12,194	10470	1724	0	75%	29%	46%
VENANGO	28.62%	7,482	3646	3836	0	69.98%	18,293	15830	2463	0	80%	39%	42%
WARREN	29.43%	5,987	2920	3067	0	68.91%	14,020	12125	1895	0	79%	38%	42%
WASHINGTON	38.09%	44,712	19486	25226	0	60.80%	71,375	60184	11191	0	75%	30%	44%
WAYNE	32.72%	9,186	3671	5454	61	66.35%	18,630	15215	3243	172	80%	37%	43%
WESTMORELAND	35.14%	70,738	31597	39141	0	63.73%	128,304	109498	18806	0	77%	32%	45%
WYOMING	31.66%	4,704	2180	2463	61	66.87%	9,936	8317	1503	116	78%	37%	41%
YORK	36.77%	85,323	35843	49480	0	61.74%	143,260	119133	24127	0	76%	32%	43%

Again, the fact that the mail-in votes and Election Day votes mirrored each other as revealed in the diagram above is basically impossible. Also, the extent of separation is very large at 40%.

They got caught.

We looked at other states that were not swing states and the lowest percent in mail-in ballots less than the President's Election Day votes was 40% but this occurred very rarely. We also spoke with Dr. V.A. **Shiva Ayyadurai**, the inventor of email and polymath, on Friday and he told us he is seeing similar patterns in voting data from this year's election.

We believe we are on to something here.

Dr. Shiva found the same exact vote reallocation (stealing) strategy in Michigan this week.

On Tuesday Dr. Shiva Ayyadurai posted this video on his YouTube channel. The video went viral.

Dr. Shiva, Phil Evans and **Bennie Smith** found **the same exact pattern** in Michigan as we found in Pennsylvania.

Dr. Shiva looked at the top four counties in Michigan.

The first thing Dr. Shiva noticed was in three major counties Trump's margin was reduced by a minimum of 138,000 votes.

The transfer was done by a computer algorithm that linearly transferred the

votes from Trump to Biden.

Dr. Shiva found that the vote transfer was greater in Republican precincts than Democrat precincts.

In early voting in Oakland County Dr. Shiva says the algorithm was "too perfect" and that 40,000 votes were taken from Trump and given to Biden.

The same thing occurred on election day. The algorithmic line again is "too perfect."

Dr. Shiva found the same phenomena in Macomb County and Kent County in Michigan. The same algorithm was put into place.

They found the same beautiful straight line in all of the counties which is impossible. They did not see this same algorithm in Democrat counties like Wayne County.

Dr. Shiva detected the weighted race algorithm that transferred votes from President Trump to Joe Biden.

Tens of thousands of votes were transferred to Sleepy Joe this way.

This is the same phenomena we found in Pennsylvania.

Now Dr. Shiva found the same "weighted race allocation" in several Michigan counties.

It is clear that corrupt Democrats in Pennsylvania did all they could to steal the 2020 Presidential election for Joe Biden. There was no excitement for the Biden campaign and there still isn't. Republicans are convinced he cheated.

They did the same thing in Michigan.

But we caught them.

Bringing justice to Pennsylvania and Michigan is a key step in addressing election fraud and addressing the massive Democrat fraud in the 2020 election process.

(If you have any information related to this post that you would like to share, please contact the author of this report. Also, some additional information was provided based on comments already received.)

Submit a Correction

Jim Hoft

More Info	Recent Posts	Contact

Jim Hoft is the founder and editor of The Gateway Pundit, one of the top conservative news outlets in America. Jim was awarded the Reed Irvine Accuracy in Media Award in 2013 and is the proud recipient of the Breitbart Award for Excellence in Online Journalism from the Americans for Prosperity Foundation in May 2016.

Home

About

Advertise

Privacy

Facebook

Twitter

YouTube

Terms

Contact

Gateway Pundit

Where Hope Finally Made a Comeback.

© 2020 The Gateway Pundit – All Rights Reserved.